INDEX TO SEARCH AND SEIZURE LAW REPORT

Volumes 23 and 24 (January 1996–December 1997)

File this Index as pages 181-185 of the Volume 23-24 binder.

[References are to page numbers]

– A –

Appellate review of warrantless search determinations: the triumph of the "de novo" standard
- Appellate review of search warrant validity determinations, 70–71
- Conclusion, 72
- Introduction, 65
- *Ornelas* and mixed questions of law and fact: de novo exceptions, 70
- *Ornelas v. U.S.*
  - Facts, 65–66
  - Procedural posture, 66–67
  - Supreme Court's ruling
    - Questions of law and questions of fact, 67–68
    - Reasonable suspicion" and "probable cause," 68
- Precedential consistency, 71–72
- Scalia dissents, 72
- Significance of review standards
  - Mixed questions of law and fact, 69–70
  - Questions of fact, 69
  - Questions of law, 69
- Why a de novo standard?
  - Exposition of the law, 71
  - Unification of precedent, 71
  - Uniformity of application, 71

– B –

Beci, Donald L.
- Author of article, 93-100

Biggs, U.S. v., Protective sweep of motel room of defendant arrested in parking lot upheld, 15–16

***Burkholder, State v.* (Ohio),** Exclusionary rule held inapplicable in parole revocation proceedings, 88

Burkoff, John M.
- Author of article, 81–87

Burkoff, John M., and Corin R. Stone
- Authors of article, 65–72

Burkoff, Nancy M.
- Author of article, 73–79

– C –

Case against the potential expansion of the good faith exception to warrantless searches and seizures
- Case against expansion of good faith exception, 168-70
- Conclusion, 170-71
- Overview, 165
- Stage set for good faith exception, 165-68

Challenges to the magisterial issuance of search warrants
- Conclusion, 87
- Constitutional limits on who may issue warrants, 82
- Failure of issuing magistrate to sign or seal warrant, 87
- Issuing magistrates who are per se not neutral and detached, 82–83
- Jurisdictional limits on issuing magistrates, 82
- Magistrate held not to be impartial, 83–84
- Magistrate held to be impartial, 84
- Magistrates as "adjunct law enforcement officers," 84–85
- Overview, 81
- "Rubber stamp" magistrates, 85
- Scope of "issuing magistrate" inclusion, 81–82
- Significance of magistrate's prior refusal to issue warrant, 86
- Significance of prior search of same premises, 86–87
- Significance of prior warrant or indictment, 85–86
- Specific claims of magistrate's partiality, 83
- When may a warrant be issued?, 87
- Who may issue a search warrant?, 81

Clancy, Thomas K.
- Author of article, 33–40

Clipper chip: how key escrow threatens to undermine the Fourth Amendment
- Conclusion, 7–8
- Continuing government strategy, 7
- Government controls of encryption technology, strategy of, 4–5
- History of authorized wiretapping, 1–2
- Introduction, 1
- Legal implications of the Clipper chip, 5–7
- Legislative response to new communications technologies, 4
- Minimization limitation on Title III interceptions, 3–4
- Shortcomings of key escrow, 5

Cloud, Morgan
- Author of articles, 125-32, 133-40

Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act (Digital Telephony Act), *see* **Clipper chip: how key escrow threatens to undermine the Fourth Amendment**

Corr, Kevin
- Author of article, 41–48

Credible officer testimony, *see* **Subjective motives and constitutional searches;** *see also* **Testilying: police perjury and what to do about it**

Cromer, Richard J.
- Author of article, 57–64

— D —

Dobson, Mark M.
Author of article, 173-80
Door left open: applicability of the Fourth Amendment exclusionary rule to juvenile court delinquency hearings
Conclusion, 147
Constitutional rights of juvenile delinquents, 146-47
Exclusionary rule in civil proceedings, 145-46
Exclusionary rule in criminal proceedings, 142-45
Searches by police officials, 144-45
Searches by school officials, 143-44
Overview, 141-42

– E –

Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 (ECPA), *see* **Clipper chip: how key escrow threatens to undermine the Fourth Amendment**
Electronic surveillance, *see* **Clipper chip: how key escrow threatens to undermine the Fourth Amendment;** *see also* **IVHS, legal privacy, and the legacy of Dr. Faustus**
Emerging issues in state constitutional law: search and seizure decisions
Automobiles, 60–61
Conclusion, 64
Consensual searches, 59–60
Exclusionary rule
Good faith exception, 61
Inevitable discovery exception, 61–62
Introduction, 57–58
Miscellaneous
Automatic companion rule, 62–63
Automatic standing, 62
Plain touch, 63
Plain view, 63
Searches by public school officials, 63–64
Unlawfully seized evidence in civil tax proceedings, use of, 64
What constitutes a seizure?, 58–59
Exclusionary rule, *see* **Case against the potential expansion of the good faith exception to warrantless searches and seizures;** *see also* **Door left open: applicability of the Fourth Amendment exclusionary rule to juvenile court delinquency hearings**
Execution of a criminal search warrant on a legitimate business-effective preparation and response
Execution of search warrant, 149-50
Gaining access to seized items, 154-56
Overview, 149
Particularity requirement, 151-53
Preparation for possibility of search warrant, 153-54
Probable cause and execution of warrant, 150-51
Surviving execution of warrant, 153
Warrant is intended to implement protection against unreasonable search and seizure, 150
Extending the good faith exception
Application of the proposed extension of good faith, 39–40
Conclusion, 40
Exclusionary rule and good faith exception, 33–35
Overview, 33
Leon, U.S. v., distinguished, 35–36
Limitations on expansion of good faith, 38–39
Why good faith should be extended, 36–38

– F –

Fed. R. Crim. P. 41(e), *see* **Of diaries and data banks: use restrictions under the Fourth Amendment**
Fisher, Eric B.
Author of article, 117-24
Fleissner, James P.
Author of article, 165-71
Forcible entry, *see* **Framers, indoor plumbing, and the Fourth Amendment: *Wilson v. Arkansas***
***Foreman, State v.* (Indiana)**, Search of locked room in bingo hall held illegal, 171
Framers, indoor plumbing, and the Fourth Amendment: *Wilson v. Arkansas*
Aftermath of decision, 77–79
Oral argument, 75–76
Overview, 73–74
Proceedings in the Arkansas courts, 74
Section 3109, 74–75
Supreme Court opinion, 77
Fundamental fairness approach, *see* **International law enforcement and the Fourth Amendment: the fundamental fairness approach**

– G –

Good faith exception to Fourth Amendment, *see* **Extending the good faith exception;** *see also* **Emerging issues in state constitutional law: search and seizure decisions**
Good faith exception to warrantless searches and seizures, *see* **Case against the potential expansion of the good faith exception to warrantless searches and seizures**
Gutierrez-Moran, U.S. v., Consent search held to include answering machine tape, 162-63

– H –

***Hage, State v.* (North Dakota)**, Four-month-old probable cause information held not stale, 161-62
***Hawkins, Commonwealth v.* (Pennsylvania)**, Anonymous tip of man with gun insufficient to support *Terry* stop, 147-48
***Hendrickson, State v.* (Washington)**, Warrantless search of prisoner's vehicle upheld, 171-72
Hidden racism of *Terry v. Ohio*
Ambivalent argument of *Terry v. Ohio*
Acceptance of NAACP's premises and rejection of its conclusion, 51–52

Invasiveness of stops and frisks and the violence of criminals, 51
Marginalization of racial concerns, 52
Misunderstandings of the legacy of *Terry v. Ohio*, 52–53
Contemporary implications of the critique, 54–56
Critique of *Terry*'s argument that the law is powerless against racism, 53–54
Introduction, 49–50
Overview of *Terry v. Ohio*, 50–51
History and the Fourth Amendment
Cuddihy history of Fourth Amendment, 134-36
General searches and congressional statutes, 139-40
General warrants, general searches, and probable cause, 136-39
Overview, 133-34
Partial histories and lawyers' purposes, 140
***Hodson, State v.* (Utah)**, Police use of gun and neckhold to force defendant to spit out contents of mouth held Fourth Amendment violation, 16
Holloman, United States v., Hybrid roadblock/roving patrol upheld, 171
***Holmes, State v.* (Minnesota)**, Police may not make *Terry* stop to investigate mere parking violation, 162

– I –

Inadvertence and intentionality, *see* **"Oops!": inadvertence, intentionality, and the police**
Informants and the Fourth Amendment: a reconsideration
Conclusion, 115
Court's informant cases misjudge privacy, 112-14
Modern informant cases
Gouled v. U.S., 110-11
Hoffa v. U.S., 111-12
Lewis v. U.S., 111-12
Lopez v. U.S., 111-12
On Lee v. U.S., 111
Overview, 109-10
Treat informant spying like wiretapping, 114-15
Intelligent Vehicle Highway Systems (IVHS), *see* **IVHS, legal privacy, and the legacy of Dr. Faustus**
International law enforcement and the Fourth Amendment: the fundamental fairness approach
Conclusion, 124
Exclusionary social contract approach, 118-19
Functionalist constitution, 121-22
Fundamental fairness, 122-23
Harmless universalism, 122
Kennedy concurrence, 120-21
More inclusive municipal law approach, 119-20
Overview, 117
Towards administrable fundamental fairness, 123-24
Verdugo-Urquidez and different approaches to extraterritoriality, 117-18
Issuance of search warrants, *see* **Challenges to the magisterial issuance of search warrants**
IVHS, legal privacy, and the legacy of Dr. Faustus
Electronic surveillance of automotive movement, 13–15
Fourth Amendment law and peripheral searches, 11–12
Introduction, 9–10
What the Fourth Amendment can do for IVHS, 10
What IVHS can do for the Fourth Amendment, 10–11
When a search is not a search after all, 13

– J –

Jaras, United States v., Driver's consent did not extend to suitcase, 172
Jerez, U.S. v., Persistent knocking held to constitute seizure, 115-16
***Johnson, State v.* (Washington)**, Search of sleeping compartment in tractor–trailer cab held lawful search incident to arrest, 32
Juvenile court delinquency hearings, *see* **Door left open: applicability of the Fourth Amendment exclusionary rule to juvenile court delinquency hearings**

– K –

***Kalie, State v.* (Louisiana)**, Detention based largely on driver and passenger nervousness held lawful where seizure objectively justified, 163-64
Katz v. U.S., *see* **Thermal imagery vs. the Fourth Amendment**
Key escrow encryption, *see* **Clipper chip: how key escrow threatens to undermine the Fourth Amendment**
Knock-and-announce, *see* **Framers, indoor plumbing, and the Fourth Amendment: *Wilson v. Arkansas***
Kowal, Steven M.
Author of article, 149-56
Krent, Harold J.
Author of article, 17–24

– L –

Legitimate business and criminal search warrants, *see* **Execution of a criminal search warrant on a legitimate business*f*effective preparation and response**
Leon, U.S. v., *see* **Extending the good faith exception**

– M –

Maclin, Tracey
Author of article, 109-15
Magisterial issuance of search warrants, *see* **Challenges to the magisterial issuance of search warrants**
Marijuana, *see* **Thermal imagery vs. the Fourth Amendment**
Maryland v. Wilson, *Mims* rationale application to passengers in stopped vehicle; certiorari granted, 64

– N –

New York v. Belton, *see* ***White, Commonwealth v.***

(Pennsylvania), *see also* ***Johnson, State v.*** **(Washington)**
1986 Wiretap Act (Title III), *see* **Clipper chip: how key escrow threatens to undermine the Fourth Amendment; *see also* IVHS, legal privacy, and the legacy of Dr. Faustus**

– O –

Of diaries and data banks: use restrictions under the Fourth Amendment
Conclusion, 24
Evolution from property to privacy-based analysis, 18–19
Government's duty to return items and information seized
Duty to return information seized, 20–21
Duty to return property (Fed. R. Crim. P. 41(e)), 19–20
Overview, 17–18
Restricting unreasonable subsequent uses
Categorical ban on previously undisclosed uses, 23–24
Legislative balancing, 22–23
Preventing unreasonable uses through case-by-case balancing, 22
"Oops!": inadvertence, intentionality, and the police
Conclusion, 161
Intentionality and eyewitness identifications, 160-61
Intentionality and *Miranda,* 159-60
Intentionality and the Fourth Amendment, 158-59
Introduction, 157-58
Ornelas v. U.S.
Supreme Court decides de novo standard of review applies to appellate consideration of magistral determinations of probable cause or reasonable suspicion in warrantless search cases, 56
***See also* Appellate review of warrantless search determinations: the triumph of the "de novo" standard**

— P —

Police perjury, *see* **Testilying: police perjury and what to do about it**
Police, pretextual investigatory activity and the Fourth Amendment: what hath *Whren* wrought?
Conclusion, 180
Implications of *Whren,* 177-79
Introduction, 173
Pre-*Whren* debate over Fourth Amendment pretext problems, 173-74
Scott v. U.S., 174
Traffic stops and orders to exit vehicles, 179-80
Whren decision, 175-77
Preparing for and responding to criminal search warrants on legitimate businesses, *see* Execution of a criminal search warrant on a legitimate business-effective preparation and response
Pretextual investigations, *see* Police, pretextual investigatory activity and the Fourth Amendment: what hath *Whren* wrought?

– R –

Racism in search and seizure, *see* **Hidden racism of *Terry v. Ohio***
Rosenberg, Irene Merker
Author of article, 141-47

– S –

Schwartz, Adina
Author of article, 49–56
Search and seizure decisions in the states, *see* **Emerging issues in state constitutional law: search and seizure decisions**
Search and seizure issues, *see* **Treading through the quagmire of search and seizure issues**
Search warrants, issuance of, *see* **Challenges to the magisterial issuance of search warrants**
Slobogin, Christopher
Author of article, 101-8
***State v. Robinette* (Ohio),** Traffic stop detainee must be told he or she is free to go before consensual interrogation, 8
***State v. Superior Court* (Arizona),** Mandatory testing of juvenile sex offenders upheld, 171
Stone, Corin R., and John M. Burkoff
Authors of article, 65–72
***Stoute, Commonwealth v.* (Massachusetts),** Person seized when officer initiates pursuit intended to stop and detain person for inquiry; *California v. Hodari* rejected, 108
Subjective motives and constitutional searches
Automobiles and "objective" tests, 129-30
"Could have" test, 130-32
"Dual" tests, "objective" tests, and emergencies, 128-29
Overview, 125-26
Perjury and suppression of evidence, 127-28
Testilying, 126-27
"Would have" test, 132
See also **Testilying: police perjury and what to do about it**
Suppression motions in warrantless search cases, *see* **Appellate review of warrantless search determinations: the triumph of the "de novo" standard**

– T –

Technology and the Warrant Clause, *see* **Using magistrates and computer technology to resuscitate the warrant requirement**
Terry v. Ohio, see* Hidden racism of *Terry v. Ohio
Testilying: police perjury and what to do about it
Changing the remedy, 107-8
Conclusion, 108
Expansion of warrant requirement, 105
Flexifying probable cause, 106-7

Informant production, 105
Nature of testilying, 102-4
Overview, 101-2
Pancha system, 105
Proposals for reducing, 104-5
Punishments and rewards, 106
Reducing pressure to lie and to ignore lying, 106
Subjecting police witnesses to lie detection, 106
Videotaping, 105-6
See also **Subjective motives and constitutional searches**

Thermal imagery vs. the Fourth Amendment
Circuit split on thermal imagery
Early consensus, 26
Tenth Circuit splits the circuits, 26–27
Conclusion, 31
Constitutional analysis of thermal imagers (*Katz v. U.S.*)
"Intrusiveness" of the alleged search, 28–29
"Place" of the alleged search, 28
"Reasonable" expectations of privacy, 27–28
"Subjective" expectations of privacy, 27
Fourth Amendment principles, 25–26
Inaptly-attempted analogies to more traditional law enforcement techniques
Discarded residential garbage, 29–30
Narcotics dog sniffs, 30–31
Introduction, 25

Torkelson, Christopher E.
Author of article, 1–8

Treading through the quagmire of search and seizure issues
Consent issues generally, 44–45
Frisks generally, 43–44
Introduction, 41
Investigative detention generally, 45
Miscellaneous, 46
Search incident generally, 45–46
Search of persons (chart), 47
Search of vehicles (chart), 48
Summary, 46
Vehicle searches
Consent, 41
Inventory, 42–43
Search incident, 41–42
Vehicle exception, 42
Vehicular frisk, 41

– U –

Use of seized property or information, *see* **Of diaries and data banks: use restrictions under the Fourth Amendment**

Using magistrates and computer technology to resuscitate the warrant requirement
Advantages flowing from magistrates and warrant requirement, 95-96
Developments in technology, 94
Exigent circumstances exception; automobile exception, 99-100
Good faith exception, 100
Incentives to encourage warrant process; discourage warrantless searches, 97-99
Overview, 93-94
Warrant process must be fortified, 96-97
Warrant requirement consistent with Framer's intent and Supreme Court precedent, 94-95

– V –

Vega, U.S. v., Hour-long *Terry* stop made at gunpoint held constitutional, 31–32

Verdugo-Urquidez, U.S. v., *see* **International law enforcement and the Fourth Amendment: the fundamental fairness approach**

– W –

Warrant Clause of Fourth Amendment, exceptions to, *see* **Using magistrates and computer technology to resuscitate the warrant requirement**

Warrantless search determinations, *see* **Appellate review of warrantless search determinations: the triumph of the "de novo" standard**

Weisberg, Robert
Author of article, 9–15

***Whipps v. State* (Indiana),** Search and seizure of shoe held consensual, 164

***White, Commonwealth v.* (Pennsylvania),** *Belton* rule rejected, 24

Whren, U.S. v.
Pretext search case taken by Supreme Court, 15
Supreme Court eliminates possibility of demonstrating existence of unconstitutional pretext search where officer stops car with probable cause to believe traffic law violated, 56

Whren v. U.S., *see* **Police, pretextual investigatory activity and the Fourth Amendment: what hat *Whren* wrought?;** *see also* **Subjective motives and constitutional searches**

Wilson v. Arkansas, *see* **Framers, indoor plumbing, and the Fourth Amendment: *Wilson v. Arkansas***

Wiretapping, *see* **Clipper chip: how key escrow threatens to undermine the Fourth Amendment**

Womack v. U.S., Handcuffing defendant held not to convert *Terry* stop into arrest, 79–80

— Y —

Yeager, Daniel
Author of article, 157-61– Z –

Zabel, Matthew L.
Author of article, 25–31